

Federal Defenders OF NEW YORK, INC.

David E. Patton
Executive Director
and Attorney-in-Chief

Southern District
52 Duane Street-10th Floor, New York, NY 10007
Tel: (212) 417-8700 Fax: (212) 571-0392

USDC SDNY

DOCUMENT Southern District of New York

Jennifer L. Brown
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Attorney-in-Charge

DOC #:

DATE FILED: 9/8/20

September 4, 2020

BY ECF

The Honorable Kimba M. Wood
United States District Judge
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007

MEMO ENDORSED

RE: United States v. Jorge Soto
19 Cr. 903 (KMW)

Honorable Judge Wood:

I write with the consent of the Government to request that the court adjourn the September 11 status conference and schedule a change of plea hearing. Both parties are available for a change of plea proceeding the week of September 21 (*except 9/22*) and September 28. As noted, the Government consents to the adjournment. I am not available on September 11 due to a conflicting proceeding before Judge Cote.

Mr. Soto consents to the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act until the date of the next hearing.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

*The Court sets a control date for
the next conference, for October 16,
2020, at 9:00 a.m. The Court refers
the change of plea to Magistrate's Court.*

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Zawadi Baharanyi
Assistant Federal Defender
(917) 612-2753

cc: AUSA Daniel Nessim

SO ORDERED: N.Y., N.Y. 9/8/20

Kimba M. Wood

KIMBA M. WOOD
U.S.D.J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :
-v- : ORDER
JORGE SOTO, : 19 CR 903 (KMW)
Defendant. :

-----X

KIMBA M. WOOD, District Judge:

The Court schedules a control date, for the next conference, on October 16, 2020, at 9:00 a.m.

Counsel for the defendant has requested an adjournment of the next pre-trial conference scheduled for September 11, 2020, because the parties are in discussion about a possible disposition short of trial.

The Court excludes the time from today until October 16, 2020, from the running of the Speedy Trial Act clock pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court finds that the granting of such a continuance best serves the ends of justice and outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because the parties need this additional time to discuss a disposition of this case short of trial.

Dated: New York, New York
September 8, 2020



KIMBA M. WOOD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE